Standard #4 Measurement and Analysis of Student Learning and Performance						
Use this table to supply data for Criterion 4.2. (Figure 4.2 in self-study)						
Performance Indicator	Definition					
1. Student Learning Results	A student learning outcome is one that measures a specific competency attainment. Examples of a direct assessment (evidence) of student learning attainment that might be used include: capstone performance, third-party examination, faculty-designed examination, professional performance, licensure examination). Add these to the description of the measurement instrument in column two: Direct - Assessing student performance by examining samples of student work Indirect - Assessing indicators other than student work such as getting feedback from the student or other persons who may provide relevant information. Formative - An assessment conducted during the student's education. Summative - An assessment instrument that was developed within the business unit. External - An assessment instrument that was developed outside the business unit. Comparative - Compare results between classes, between online and on ground classes, Between professors, between programs, between campuses, or compare to external results such as results from the U.S. Department of Education Research and Statistics, or results from a vendor providing comparable data.					
			Analysis of Results			
Performance Measure	What is your measurement instrument or process?	Current Results	Analysis of Results	Action Taken or Improvement made	Insert Graphs or Tables of Resulting Trends (3-5 data points preferred)	
Measurable goal	Do not use grades.	What are your current results?	What did you learn from the results?	What did you improve or what is your next step?		
What is your goal?	(Indicate type of instrument) direct, formative, internal, comparative					
Knowledge of foundation areas in undergraduate program. Goal: Students will score equal or above the national average of 150	Summative, External. Comparative Data derived from Major Field Assessment Test. Percentage correct of total score.	Scores in the Spring cohort have been increasing and moving closer to the benchmark. However, scores in the fall remain low.	There is a need for continuous imrpvement. Evaluation of all course learning outcomes for consistency, more oral presentations and more written assignments were added.	Review of course syllabi to include all MFAT topics. Increased use of LMS platform to support courses. Uploaded syllabi, videos, documents, etc.	Undergraduate MFAT Scores 155 150 145 140 135 130 Fall Spring Fall Spring Fall Spring Fall Spring 2018 2019 2019 2020 2021 2021 2022	
Knowledge of CPC subects in undergraduate program. Goal: Students will score equal or above the national average in each area	Summative, External. Comparative Data derived from Major Field Assessment Test scores for nine CPC subject areas. Percentage correct in accounting.	The goal is not yet achieved. Scores in the Spring cohort have been increasing and moving closer to the benchmark. However, scores in the fall remain low.	Continuous improvement needed. Evaluation of all course learning outcomes for consistency, more oral presentations and more written assignments were added.	Review and revise course syllabi to include all topics from the MFAT.Increased use of LMS platform to support course. Uploaded syllabi, videos, documents, etc.	MFAT Accounting Scores 50 45 40 35 30 Fall Spring Fall Spring Fall Spring 2018 2019 2019 2020 2021 2021 2022	

Knowledge of CPC subects in undergraduate program.Goal: Students will score equal or above the national average in each area	Summative, External. Comparative Data derived from Major Field Assessment Test scores for nine CPC subject areas. Percentage correct in Economics.	The goal is not yet achieved. Scores in the Spring cohort have been increasing and moving closer to the benchmark. However, scores in the fall remain low.	Continuous improvement needed. Evaluation of all course learning outcomes for consistency, more oral presentations and more written assignments were added.	Review and revise course syllabi to include all topics from the MFAT.IIncreased use of LMS platform to support course. Uploaded syllabi, videos, documents, etc.	MFAT Economics Scores 50 45 40 25 20 Fall Spring Fall Fall Spring Fall Spring 2018 2019 2020 2021 2021 2022
Knowledge of CPC subects in undergraduate program Goal: Students will score equal or above the national average in each area.	Summative, External. Comparative Data derived from Major Field Assessment Test scores for nine CPC subject areas. Percentage correct in Management.	The goal is not yet achieved. Scores in the Spring cohort have been increasing and moving closer to the benchmark. However, scores in the fall remain low.	Continuous improvement needed. Evaluation of all course learning outcomes for consistency, more oral presentations and more written assignments were added.	Review and revise course syllabi to include all topics from the MFAT. Increased use of LMS platform to support course. Uploaded syllabi, videos, documents, etc.	MFAT Management Scores 60 55 50 45 40 35 30 Fall 2018 Spring Fall 2019 Fall 2020 Spring Fall 2021 Spring 2019 2021 2022
Knowledge of CPC subects in undergraduate program Goal: Students will score equal or above the national average in each area	Summative, External. Comparative Data derived from Major Field Assessment Test scores for nine CPC subject areas. Percentage correct in Quantitative Methods.	Scores in the Spring cohort have been increasing and moving closer to the benchmark. However, scores in the fall remain low.	Continuous improvement needed.Evaluation of all course learning outcomes for consistency, more oral presentations and more written assignments were added.	Review and revise course syllabi to include all topics from the MFAT.Increased use of LMS platform to support course. Uploaded syllabi, videos, documents, etc.	MFAT Quantitative Business Analysis Scores Solution Fall 2018 Spring Fall 2019 Fall 2020 Spring Fall 2021 Spring 2019 2021 2022
in undergraduate	Summative, External. Comparative Data derived from Major Field Assessment Test scores for nine CPC subject areas. Percentage correct in Finance.	Scores in the Spring cohort have been increasing and moving closer to the benchmark. However, scores in the fall remain low.	Evaluation of all course learning outcomes for consistency, more oral presentations and more written assignments were added.	Review and revise course syllabi. Increased use of LMS platform to support course. Uploaded syllabi, videos, documents, etc.	MFAT Finance Scores 50

in undergraduate program. Goal: Students will score	Assessment Test scores for nine CPC subject areas.	Scores in the Spring cohort have been increasing and moving closer to the benchmark. However, scores in the fall remain low.	Evaluation of all course learning outcomes for consistency, more oral presentations and more written assignments were added.	Revise course syllabi. Increased use of LMS platform to support course. Uploaded syllabi, videos, documents, etc.	MFAT Marketing Scores 45 40 40 35 80 25 20 15 10 Fall 2018 Spring Fall 2019 Fall 2020 Spring Fall 2021 Spring 2019 2021 2022
in undergraduate program. Goal: Students will score	CPC subject areas.	Scores in the Spring cohort have been increasing and moving closer to the benchmark. However, scores in the fall remain low.	Evaluation of all course learning outcomes for consistency, more oral presentations and more written assignments were added.	Revise course syllabi. Increased use of LMS platform to support course. Uploaded syllabi, videos, documents, etc.	MFAT Legal & Social Environment Scores Fall 2018 Spring Fall 2019 Fall 2020 Spring Fall 2021 Spring 2019 2021 2022
in undergraduate program. Goal: Students will score	1	Scores in the Spring cohort have been increasing and moving closer to the benchmark. However, scores in the fall remain low.	Evaluation of all course learning outcomes for consistency, more oral presentations and more written assignments were added.	Revise course syllabi. Increased use of LMS platform to support course. Uploaded syllabi, videos, documents, etc.	MFAT InfoSystems Scores 60 55 45 40 835 50 15 Fall 2018 Spring Fall 2019 Fall 2020 Spring Fall 2021 Spring 2019 2019 8 Spring Fall 2019 Fall 2020 Spring Fall 2021 Spring 2019
Knowledge of CPC subects in undergraduate program. Goal: Students will score equal or above the national average in each area	Assessment Test scores for nine CPC subject areas.	Scores in the Spring cohort have been increasing and moving closer to the benchmark. However, scores in the fall remain low.	Evaluation of all course learning outcomes for consistency, more oral presentations and more written assignments were added.	Revise course syllabi. Increased use of LMS platform to support course. Uploaded syllabi, videos, documents, etc.	MFAT International Issues Scores Fall 2018 Spring Fall 2019 Fall 2020 Spring Fall 2021 Spring 2021 Spring 2019 Spring Fall 2019 Fall 2020 Spring Fall 2021 Spring 2022

Knowledge of CPC subject areas (undergraduate) in Economics, Management, Marketing, Accounting and statistics. Goal: Seventy percent of students will score 70 percent or above.		or above met only in fall 2020.		Revised the course syllabus to include more applications and use of technology.	Financial Accounting Exam Scores 90.0% 80.0% 70.0% 60.0% 50.0% 40.0% 30.0% 20.0% 10.0% Fall 2019 Spring 2020 Fall 2020 Spring 2021 Fall 2021 Spring 2022
Knowledge of CPC subject areas (undergraduate) in Economics, Management, Marketing, Accounting and statistics. Goal: Seventy percent of students will score 70 percent or above.	Normative, Internal. Comparative Data derived from selected examination in business statistics.	of students scoring 70 percent or above was met	Business students gained proficiency in statistics and its applications.	Business Faculty communicate with Statistics faculty to know about effective teaching techniques.	Business Statistics Exam Scores 90.0% 80.0% 70.0% 60.0% 50.0% 40.0% 10.0% Fall 2019 Spring 2020 Fall 2020 Spring 2021 Fall 2021 Spring 2022